

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/590,027	06/07/2000	Steven R. Kleiman	103.1037.01	8740
22883 7	590 07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
SWERNOFSKY LAW GROUP PC			NGUYEN, CHAU T	
P.O. BOX 390013 MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94039-0013			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$ 140 B	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/590,027	KLEIMAN, STEVEN R.			
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Chau Nguyen	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 17 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIST INTERPRET	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a name application in			
_	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF).	Brief must be filed within the pe				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ———				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Security		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:		• 3			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.			
9.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	-41 11			
10. Other:		July and the second			
BEST AVAILABLE COPY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED					

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New issues include several amendments to claims 1-5, 7-8, 10, 12, 21 and 25-26 that would necessitate further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: new issues, such as "using at least one of plural data buffers of ifferent sizes, at least some of said data buffers matched to sizes of data blocks to be transferred into or out of those data buffers" raised in claims 1-5, 7-8, 10, 12, 21 and 25-26 would require further search and consideration. Also, examiner's maintained the final rejection over Liberty in view of Goldrian and Yamashita.

SEST AVAILABLE COPY